International application No. PCT/JP2004/016461

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> F01D5/14, 5/30, 9/02					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEA	ARCHED				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> F01D5/14, 5/30, 9/02					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922–1996 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996–2004  Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971–2004 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994–2004					
Electronic data ba	ase consulted during the international search (name of da	ta base and, where practicable, search te	rins used)		
	·				
C. DOCUMEN	TS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appr	ropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X Y	JP 62-3847 A (MAN Gutehoffnun 09 January, 1987 (09.01.87), Page 4, upper left column, lir		1-4,6,8-9 10-15		
	right column, line 8; Figs. 1	to 3 199073 A1			
X Y	JP 5-44691 A (Mitsubishi Heav Ltd.), 23 February, 1993 (23.02.93), Full text; Figs. 1 to 6, 8 (Family: none)	y Industries,	1-4,6,8-9		
X Y	JP 55-142909 A (Hitachi, Ltd. 07 November, 1980 (07.11.80), Full text; Figs. 1 to 8 (Family: none)	),	1-3,6,8-9		
× Further do	ocuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  "&" document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actu 24 Jan	al completion of the international search uary, 2005 (24.01.05)	Date of mailing of the international second 15 February, 2005	arch report (15.02.05)		
Name and mail	ing address of the ISA/ ese Patent Office	Authorized officer	•		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/JP2004/016461

PCT/JP:			2004/016461	
C (Continuation)	). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
Y	Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 149742/1980 (Laid-oper No. 73304/1982) (Tokyo Shibaura Electric Co., Ltd.), 06 May, 1982 (06.05.82), Figs. 5 to 6 (Family: none)	10		
<b>Y</b>	Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 156772/1986(Laid-oper No. 63503/1988) (Hitachi, Ltd.), 26 April, 1988 (26.04.88), Figs. 1, 3(b) (Family: none)		11	
Y	JP 61-252838 A (MTU MOTOREN-UND TURBINEN-U MÜNCHEN GMBH), 10 November, 1986 (10.11.86), Fig. 1 & DE 3514354 A1 & EP 203353 A1 & US 4709546 A1	INION	10-14	
Y	JP 1-237305 A (Toshiba Corp.), 21 September, 1989 (21.09.89), Figs. 5 to 6, 9 (Family: none)		15	
			-	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/JP2004/016461

Box No. II Observations when	re certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
	ot been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
l Claims Nos.:  because they relate to subje	ct matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 5 and 7 because they relate to parts of extent that no meaningful in	of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an atternational search can be carried out, specifically:
See extra sheet.	
3 Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent	claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations whe	re unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
The invention of cl to claims 1-15 is no Rule 13.2, second so Accordingly, the in	enventions of claims $1-2$ , the inventions of claims $3-7$ ,
the invention of cla	aim 8, the inventions of claims 9-14, and the invention ferent invention groups.
1. X As all required additional sections.	earch fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable
2. As all searchable claims cou any additional fee.	ld be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of
	ed additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers in fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
	rch fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/016461

#### Continuation of Box No. II-2 of continuation of first sheet (2)

That "the distance Ho from the wall surface in the height direction of the front edge is set to a range of Ho = (0.5 to 2.0)T with T being the thickness of a boundary layer of a working fluid," which is described in claim 5, means that the height is set by the thickness T of a boundary layer that varies according to the operating condition of a turbine. The above is technically unsure, and therefore it lacks the clarity that PCT Article 6 requires.

That "when allocated to an angle  $\theta$  with a stagnation point of a working fluid that collides a front edge of a blade body set as the standard, the angle  $\theta$  is set to a range of  $\theta=\pm15^{\circ}$  to  $\pm60^{\circ}$ ," which is described in claim 7, means that the starting point of reckoning of an angle is set by a stagnation point of a working fluid, the stagnation point varying according to the operating condition of a turbine. Even if the starting point is determined, only the starting point cannot determine the standard line for reckoning the angle, and the range of a fan-like angle is undefined. Therefore, the above lacks the clarity that PCT Article 6 requires.